**Received: June 25, 1986; accepted: October 12, 1986** 

## **PERFLUOROTHIOALKANOYL HALIDES. PREPARATION FROM SULFIDES**

**THOAI NGUYEN and CLAUDE WAKSELMAN** 

**CNRS-CERCOA** 

**2, Rue Henri Ounant 94320 Thiais (France)** 

#### **SUMMARY**

**Perfluorothioalkanoyl halides were generated from alkyl perfluoroalkyl**  sulfides by reaction with TiF<sub>4</sub>, TiCl<sub>4</sub> or C1SO<sub>3</sub>H. The alkyl groups were benzyl or methyl, the former was more suitable. An a-bromoperfluoroalkyl**sulfide gave a perfluorothioalkanoyl halide more easily than the corresponding d-chloro sulfide which gave the thioalkanoyl chloride. An exchange**  between the **x**-halogen atom X of the sulfide R<sub>F</sub>CFXSR<sub>H</sub> (X=Cl, Br) and the **halogen atom of the Lewis acid can occur.** 

#### **INTRODUCTION**

**An elegant way of access to perfluoroalkanoyl fluorides was the**  reaction of Lewis acid with perfluoroalkyl methyl ethers<sup>[1]</sup>. TiF<sub>4</sub> was the **most practical Lewis acid for this purpose. The mechanism proposed for this reaction was an abstraction of fluorine from the C-F bond giving the acid fluoride, a metal fluoride anion, and a methyl cation. The last two ions unite to give methyl fluoride.** 

$$
\text{Tr}_{\text{A}} + R_{\text{F}} - \text{CF}_{2} \text{OCH}_{3} \rightarrow R_{\text{F}} \text{C}(0) \text{F} + \text{Tr}_{\text{F}_{3}} \text{F} + \text{CH}_{3} \text{F} \rightarrow R_{\text{F}} \text{C}(0) \text{F} + \text{CH}_{3} \text{F} + \text{Tr}_{\text{A}} \text{F}
$$

**Based upon the ability of the sulfur atom to give a sulfonium ion, question arises about the possible extension of this reaction to sulfides for the preparation of perfluorothioalkanoyl halides.** 

 $Tif_{4} + R_{F}-CFX-SR_{H} \longrightarrow Tif_{4} + R_{H}F + R_{F}C(S)X$ ; X=halogen **0022-1139/87/\$3.50 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands** 

**Not many studies have been made on the preparation of perfluorothio alkanoyl halides. Generally they are generated[?]by reactions of sulfur or**  of P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>E</sub>, at a temperature up to 500°C, with perfluoroalkyl mercury **compounds, with perhalogenoethylenes CF2 = CX2 (X = F,Cl,Br,I) or with polyhalogeno-perfluoroalkanes RFCFX7. RFCX3 (X = Cl, Br, I). These reactions were not always simple. Thus, the transformation of sulfides into the thiocarbonyl halides would be interesting, since fluorinated alkyl**  sulfides were easily obtained<sup>[3]</sup>.

## **RESULTS**

**We started our study with benzyl perfluorobutyl sulfide 1[3b].**  TiF<sub>A</sub> was inactive. C1SO<sub>3</sub>H or concentrated sulfuric acid led to benzyl**thioperfluorobutyrate 12. The sulfide was simply hydrolysed[4].** 

$$
R_F CF_2 SR_H \longrightarrow R_F C(0) SR_H. \quad ; R_F = C_3 F_7
$$

The breaking of the alkylsulfur bond,  $R_{\text{H}}$ \$S, leading to a thiocarbonyl**halide did not occur. For this purpose, it seems that two factors ought to**  be satisfied : the ease of the alkyl group R<sub>u</sub> to give the cation **R"+> as would do the benzyl group, and the ability of the sulfur**  atom to leave its electron pair. The perfluoroalkyl group R<sub>F</sub>-CF<sub>2</sub>, by its **attractive effect, retains tightly the sulfur doublet in the sulfur**  electronic cloud, the substitution of an a-fluorine atom by another halogen **(Cl, Br) will make easier the formation of a donor-acceptor complex between the sulfide and the Lewis acid.** 

**Benzyl l-chloroperfluoroethyl sulfide 2 was then prepared [3b) and**  tried. When, this sulfide, mixed with TiF<sub>A</sub>, was heated to about 100°C, a red liquid distilled. It was identified as trifluorothioacetyl chloride 8<sup>[2]</sup>. **It subsequently gave diethylamino trifluorothioacetamide CF3C(S)N(C2H5);** [sJ **in 47% yield. The residue in the pot was tar. The benzyl l-bromoperfluoro**ethyl sulfide 3 was yet more reactive than the 1-chloro derivative 2. It gave the unknown trifluorothioacetyl bromide **9** CF<sub>3</sub>C(S)Br. Gentle heating **to about 60°C was enough to split the benzyl sulfur bond. The reaction was**  autocatalytic; less than a stoichiometric amount of TiF<sub>4</sub> can be used.

**Methyl sulfides were less reactive than the benzyl derivatives.**  Compound 4 CF<sub>3</sub>CFClSCH<sub>3</sub> did not react, but compound 5 CF<sub>3</sub>CFBrSCH<sub>3</sub> did.

524

**Tic14 was also efficient as a catalyst, but the reactions were**  complicated by a possible exchange between the chlorine atom of TiCl<sub>4</sub> and **the halogen atom of the sulfide. For example compound 2 gave a l/l mixture of trifluorothioacetyl chloride 8 and trifluorothioacetyl bromide 2;**  compound *I* CF<sub>2</sub>BrCFBrSCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> gave the bromodifluorothioacetyl chloride 15 CF<sub>2</sub>BrC(S)Cl. The reactions with SbF<sub>5</sub> were violent and a lot of tar **resulted.** 

**The table summarizes the results obtained with some typical sulfides.**  The reactions were carried out with  $\text{TiF}_4$ ,  $\text{TiCl}_4$  and  $\text{CISO}_3H$ .



**TABLE** 

**According to the table, benzyl sulfides were more suitable than methyl**  sulfides in the process of R<sub>u</sub>-S-S splitting, as also did the **x**-bromosulfides 3, 5, 7, as compared with the **a**chloro sulfides 2, 4, 6. The fact **that a thioacyl chloride was obtained along with a thioacyl bromide when an c**+bromosulfide was used with TiCl<sub>a</sub>, can be accounted for by the formation of **a complex between this sulfide and the Lewis acid, which allowed a Br**  $\rightarrow$  **Cl exchange to occur** :

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\oplus \\
R_f \subset \text{FBrsR}_H + \text{TiCl}_4 \rightleftharpoons R_f \subset F = S - R_H \rightleftharpoons R_f \subset \text{FCISR}_H + \text{TiBrCl}_3 \\
\oplus \\
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \q
$$

**The complex A did not split, since in no case, was perfluorothioalkanoyl fluoride trapped.** 

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

**IH NMR and IgF NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian EM360**  instrument at 60MHz and 56.4 MHz with TMS and CFC1<sub>2</sub> as external standards. Measurements were done on 10-20% solutions in CDC1<sub>3</sub>. (s = **singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quadruplet, dxd doublet of doublets).** 

## **Benzyl 1-bromotetrafluoroethyl sulfide 2**

**log (67mM) of benzylthiocyanate, 6g (103mM) of potassium fluoride, 8ml of sulfolane were introduced in a stainless steel autoclave together with 129 (74.5mM) of bromotrifluoroethylene. The autoclave was closed and heated at 120°C during two days. After cooling to room temperature the autoclave's content was poured into lOOm1 of water, extracted with methylene chloride and dried. The solvent was removed, the residue was distilled under reduced pressure. 139 (39mM) of compound 2 were obtained b.p.:**95°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm),  $\delta$ :4.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>,s), 7.3 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>,s), <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm),  $\phi$ :  $-76(CF_3,d, J = 12Hz)$ ,  $-97(CFBr, q)$ .

# **Methyl 1-chlorotetrafluoroethyl sulfide 4**

**369 (49mM) of methylthiocyanate, 6g (103mM) of potassium fluoride, 8ml of sulfolane, 8ml of methylene chloride, were introduced into a stainless steel autoclave together with 9g (77mM) of chlorotrifluoroethylene. The autoclave was heated at 120°C and agitated for two days. After cooling to room temperature the content of the autoclave was distilled. 5.59 (397mM) of 2** was obtained b.p.:72°C; yield 51%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm);  $\delta$  :2.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>,s); <sup>19</sup>F **NMR** (ppm),  $\oint$  :-79 (CF<sub>3</sub>,d,J = 9Hz); -101.5 (CFC1, q); Anal. Calcd. for **C3H3C1F4S : C, 19.73; H, 1.65; Cl, 19.45; F, 41.63; Found : C, 19.99; H, 1.72; Cl, 20.15; F, 41.83.** 

### **Methyl I-bromotetrafluoroethyl sulfide 5**

**The same procedure was used. Starting from 4.49 (60mM) of methyl thiocyanate, 6g (103mM) of potassium fluoride, 8ml of sulfolane and 11.59 (71.4mM) of bromotrifluoroethylene, 7.59 (33mM) of 2 was obtained. b.p.:90°C;** yield 46%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm),  $\delta$  : 2.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>,s); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm),  $\oint$ :-77 (CF<sub>3</sub>,d, J = 12Hz); -100 (CFBr, q); Anal. Calcd. for **C3H3BrF4S: C, 15.88; H,1.33; Found** : **C,16.03; H, 1.37.** 

# **Benzyl-trifluorovinyl sulfide 16 (nc)**

**64ml of butyllithium (1.2N in hexane), 30ml of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran THF were cooled at -70°C in a three-necked flask. Under nitrogen and with stirring gg (77mM) of chlorotrifluoroethylene were bubbled into the**  mixture. A solution of trifluorovinyllithium <sup>[9]</sup> was introduced portion wise **under mechanical stirring into a solution of 7g (46.9mM) of benzylthiocyanate in 25ml of anhydrous THF. The temperature was kept around -15°C. After an hour, the cooled bath was removed, and the dark solution was acidified by dilute sulfuric acid, washed with brine and dried. After removal of the solvent, the residue was distilled under reduced pressure. 129 (58mM) of 16 was obtained. b.p.:80°-85"C/15 Torr; yield** : 49%. **lH NMR** (ppm),  $\delta$  :3.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>,s); 7.15 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>,s); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm),  $\Phi$ : -87 **(CF,dxd, J = 50 and 35Hz); -107 (CF,dxd, J = 130Hz); -149.5 (CF,dxd); Anal.**  Calcd. for C<sub>q</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>S: C, 52.96; H, 3.45; F, 27.92; Found : C, 53.00; H, **3.44; F, 27.63.** 

# **Benzyl 1,2- dichloro-trifluoroethyl sulfide 6 (nc)**

**3g (42.2mM) of chlorine were bubbled into a solution of 7g (34.3mM) of**  16 in 100ml of methylene chloride cooled to -15°C. The solvent was removed **under reduced pressure. 9g (32.7mM) of 5 were distilled from the residue, b.p.:100°C/15 Torr; yield 95%.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm),  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ :4.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>,s); 7.25(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>,s); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm), $\Phi$ :-63 (CF<sub>2</sub>Cl,d, J = 14Hz); -91 (CFCl,t); MS :  $m/e$  275,277,  $M^{+}$ ; 91,  $C_{6}H_{6}CH_{2}^{+}$ .

## **Benzyl 1,2-dibromotrifluoroethyl sulfide 7 (nc)**

A solution of 3.13g (19.6mM) of bromine in 5ml of CCl<sub>A</sub> was added dropwise at 0°C into a solution of 4g (19.6mM) of 16 in 5ml of CCl<sub>4</sub>. After **half an hour the solution was washed with water, dried, and distilled under**  reduced pressure. 6.4g of 7 (17.6mM) were obtained b.p.:123°C/5 Torr; yield 90%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm),  $\delta:4.37$  (CH<sub>2</sub>,s); 7.45 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>,s); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm), **4:-53.5 (CF2Br,d, J = 20Hz); -87 (CFBr,t).MS:m/e 253, 255,257, CFBrCFBr 173,175,**   $\sim$ s $\times$ **CFBr-CF; 91, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> .** 

**Reaction of sulfides with TiF, - general procedure.** 

A mixture of sulfide and anhydrous TiF<sub>A</sub> in stoTchiometric amounts was **heated on an oil bath. When the reaction occurred, gas was evolved and the thioacyl halide distilled as a red liquid. It was trapped in a receiver**  cooled by a dry ice-acetone mixture. Diluted in CH<sub>2</sub>C1<sub>2</sub> it was identified **by NMR and mass spectra and was subsequently converted into thioamide by reaction with diethylamine.** 

**Trifluorothioacetyl chloride 8 121 Red liquid b.p.:28"C; "F NMR (ppm),(b:-69.5; MS:m/e 148,15O,M+.** 

**Diethylamino trifluoro thioacetamide[5] @:- 61, Anal. Calcd. for C6H10F3NS** : **C,38.74; H, 5.42; N,7.52; Found:**  <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm),  $\delta$ :1.25 (CH<sub>3</sub>,t,7Hz); 3.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>,q); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm), **C, 38.74; H, 5.52; N,7.36.** 

**Trifluorothioacetyl bromide 9 (ncl**  Red liquid b.p.:45°C; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm),  $\phi$ :-68 MS:m/e 192,194, M<sup>+</sup>.

**Chlorodifluorothioacetyl chloride lO[7]**  Red liquid b.p.:56°C (lit.E<sub>20</sub> -10°C); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm), $\phi$ :-53. **UV** : $h_{\text{max}}$ : =505nm,  $\xi = 11$  (lit. 500nm) (CH<sub>2</sub>C1<sub>2</sub> large).

Bromodifluorothioacetyl bromide 11 (nc) **Red liquid b.p.:75°C,**  $^{19}$ **F NMR (ppm),**  $\Phi$ **:48; MS :m/e 252,254, 256, M<sup>+</sup>.** 

## **Diethylamino bromodifluorothioacetamide (nc)**

**lH KMR (ppm), 6: 1.45 (CH3,t,J = 7Hz); 4.05 (CH2,q); "F NMR**  (ppm),  $\phi$  :-43; Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub>BrF<sub>2</sub>NS : C,29.29; H,4.09; N, **5.69. Found : C, 29.85; H, 4.26; N, 5.51.** 

# **Diethylamino chlorodifluorothioacetamide (nc)**

**b.p.:110°C/15 Torr <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm), 6:1.35 (CH<sub>3</sub>,t, J = 6Hz); 3.9(CH<sub>2</sub>,q);** <sup>19</sup>F NMR (ppm),  $\phi$ :-46; Anal. Calcd. for  $c_6H_{10}C1F_2$ NS: C, 35.75; H, **5.00; N, 6.94; Found : C, 35.78; H, 5.35; N, 6.53.** 

## **Reaction of sulfides with Tic14**

By using the same method as with  $\text{Tr}_{4}$ , compound 3 gave a  $1/1$  mixture of 8 / 9. yield 71%. Compound 7 gave a 2 / 8 mixture of 11 / 15.

**Bromodifluorothioacetyl chloride 15**   $\frac{19}{19}$  NMR (ppm), $\Phi$ :-49.5; MS : m/e 208, 210, 212, M<sup>+</sup>.

## **Reaction of sulfides with chlorosulfonic acid-general procedure**

**5mM of sulfide were dissolved in 8ml of methylene chloride and cooled at 0°C. 8mM of chlorosulfonic acid dissolved in 4ml of methylene chloride were introduced dropwise with stirring. 5ml of pentane were then added. The upper layer was separated, washed with water and dried. The "F NMR of this solution gave the ratio of thioacyl halide over ester. Afterwards the halide was distilled with the solvent and converted into thioamide. The remaining benzylthioester was identified through its NMR spectrum by comparison with sample prepared from benzylmercaptan and the corresponding perfluoroalkanoyl chloride.** 

## **Benzylthio trifluoroacetate 13**

**19F NMR (ppm),** $\phi$ **:-75 (CF3,s). b.p.:105°C/15 Torr;** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm),  $\delta$  :5(CH<sub>2</sub>,s), 6.9 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>,s);

## Benzylthio heptafluorobutyrate 12

**b.p.:**SE°C/3 Torr; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm),  $6:3.7$  (CH<sub>2</sub>,s); 7.34 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>,s); <sup>19</sup>F NMR  $(ppm), \Phi$ :-79 (CF<sub>3</sub>,t, J = 8Hz), -116 (CF<sub>2</sub>CO;q); -125 (CF<sub>2</sub>).

**Methylthio trifluoroacetate g, [S] b.p.:**71°C; 'H NMR (ppm), $\phi$ :2.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>,s); ''F NMR (ppm), $\phi$ :-76.5 (CF<sub>3</sub>,s).

#### REFERENCES

1 D.C. England, J. Org. Chem., 49 (1984) 4007. 2 W.J. Middleton, E.G. Howard, W.H. Sharkey, J. Org. Chem., 30 (1965) 1375. 3 a) R.N. Haszeldine, B. Hewitson, A.E. Tipping, J. Chem. Sot. Perkin I, (1976) 1178. b) T. Nguyen, M. Rubinstein, C. Wakselman, J. Org. Chem., 46 (1981) 1938. c) I. Rico, C. Wakselman, J. Fluorine Chem., 20 (1982) 759. d) I. Rico, D. Cantacuzène, C. Wakselman, J. Org. Chem. 48 (1983) 1979. e) M. Suda, C. Hino, Terahedron Lett., 22 (1981) 1997. 4 R.C. Terrel, T. Ucciardi, J.F. Vitche, J. Org. Chem., 30 (1965) 4011. 5 Y.L. Yagupol'skii, B.K. Kerzhner, L.M. Yagupol'skii, Zh. Org. Khim., 12 (1976) 2213. H. Fritz, P. Hug. S.O. Lawesson. E. Logemann, B.S. Pedersen, H. Sauter, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 87 (1978) 525. 6 R. Hershfield, G.L. Schwir, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 95 (1973) 3994. E. Bock, A. Queen, S. Brownlee, T.A. Nour, M.F. P. Row, Canad. J. Chem., 52 (1974) 3113. - 7 W.J. Middleton, U.S. Pat., 3 113 936 (1963). 8 D.C. England, L. Solomon, C.G. Krespan, J. Fluorine Chem., 2 (1973/74) 63. 9 J.F. Normant. J.P. Foulon, D. Masure, R. Sauvetre, J. Villieras,

Synthesis (1975) 122.